1

2 3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

14

15

16

13

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26 27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CHRISTOPHER J. WILLING,

Plaintiff.

٧.

DEPUTY ARMS et al.,

Defendants.

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No. 2:14-cv-1122-APG-PAL

Order

DISCUSSION Ι.

On September 23, 2014, this Court entered a screening order dismissing a portion of Plaintiff's deliberate indifference claim with leave to amend and permitted a portion of the deliberate indifference claim to proceed. (Dkt. #5 at 8). The Court granted Plaintiff 30 days to amend his complaint. (Id.) The Court also granted Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis. (Id.)

On October 1, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion for preliminary injunction. (Dkt. #8). Plaintiff alleged that he needed surgery for his collar bone and for a tumor mass on his back but Defendants had denied surgery. (Id. at 2). Plaintiff attached medical assessments from doctors and Defendants' denial of surgery. (Id. at 3-6). Defendants denied surgery because the documentation did not include "written verification from a doctor stating that medical request is medically necessary and why." (Id. at 6).

On October 7, 2014, this Court issued an order denying Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction. (Dkt. #10 at 2). The Court found that the medical reports demonstrated that a doctor was going to refer Plaintiff to general surgery for further evaluation and not that he needed surgery. (Id.) The Court found that Plaintiff could not

Case 2:14-cv-01122-APG-PAL Document 12 Filed 10/17/14 Page 2 of 2

demonstrate that he was likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief. (*Id.*)

On October 14, 2014, Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal as to the denial of the motion for preliminary injunction. (*Id.*) On October 16, 2014, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued a referral notice to this Court to determine whether Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* status should continue for the appeal. The Court now certifies that any *in forma pauperis* appeal from that order would be taken "in good faith" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that this Court certifies that any *in forma pauperis* appeal from this Court's October 7, 2014, order would be taken "in good faith" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

DATED this 17th day of October, 2014.

United States District Judge